٢	Share -version! Some things are added and some thin Comparative judgment - unpacking teachers' assessment pra	
Haninge kommun	Dr Eva Hartell <u>ehartell@kth.se</u> researchED Netherlands Nieuwegein, Jan 12 <sup>th</sup> , 2019	@EvaHartell #FEDNed





	Where the learner is going	Where the learner is right now	How to get ther
Teacher	1. Clarifying, sharing and understanding learning intentions and criteria for success	2. Engineering effective classroom discussions and other learning tasks that elicit evidence of student understanding	3. Providing feedback that moves learners forward
Peer		4. Activating stude resources for one	
Learner		5. Activating stude their own learning	nts as owners of















	[1] More maths (fr Hartell & Skogh, 2015)				, 2015)
feacher's	Counted pair judgements	Total time heronicare		Projections	Weighted mean ser Z
Annie	30	01.04.20	00.02.08	194	0.91
Evelyn	30	021516	00.02.30	161	1.9
ines.	30	01.01.22	00:02:02	205	0.44
Malora	30	011158	00:02:23	170	1.41
Mary	16	1.21.21	00:03:05	78	0.99
	136	05.5417	00:02:36	808	
		The powe	er of the co	llective	

		but what	IS III	it for you?
2 20	20.elev150	150	2.0	<ul> <li>Both summative and formative</li> </ul>
2 28	18,elev279	279	- 19	assessment purposes
6.28	DE.niev203	208		
17	17.elev258_	254	100	<ul> <li>Track progress</li> </ul>
0 28	16.elev209_ 15.elev292	209		<ul> <li>Peer and self-assessment</li> </ul>
1.14	14.eiev269	100		Teacher training!
9 18	SLeinv116	154	E.e	<ul> <li>Teacher training!</li> </ul>
0 12	12.elev364	264	110	<ul> <li>Moderation (yourself / peer)</li> </ul>
1 11	SLeiev360	36	Ac	<ul> <li>Building assessment literacy and</li> </ul>
2 30	10,elev627_	27	80	
3.9	9,elev035_	15		self-efficacy
6.8	R,elev040_	40	80	<ul> <li>Connoisseurship</li> </ul>
5 7	7,elev231_	231	1.0	Connoissearship
6.6	6,elev029_	29		
	S,elev141_ 4.elev277	141		<ul> <li>Ranking schools</li> </ul>
6.0	Laint17	107	1.0	running sonoois
0.2	2.elev244	244	1.0	
	Labortell	149	1.0	Research method











(1) Outcom	les	[1] Outcomes What did the teachers think of Fun! A bit insecure in the beginning, but I felt more confident after a short while.
What criteria for suc	cess were these teachers looking for?	It was amusing/fun and I learnt a lot. It is easier to assess this way. Compare two at a t
Three strands	Consensus within this group of educated teachers	I like the idea that we are more who co-assess Interesting, Different.
1. Whole- (narrative/story)		Fun!! But tiny internet connection problems
2. Particular	but also the importance of finishing the task, which <i>Highlights the importance of</i>	This was really interesting! Took a while to see and understand what I was supposed to Purpose got more explicit on what qualities I were looking for.
<ol> <li>Other – "it felt better"</li> </ol>	task design time and opportunity to learn Must not leare pupils in their own unreflective "doing/ making", which is fairly common according to Swedish School Inspectorate	Nice to see other than my own students' we "I found the assessment work through the portal gave me the strengt students' work in a new way."





ARTELL RESEARCHED NETHERLANDS 12 JAN



















Comparative Judgment can:

be useful for international comparisons in open ended design scenarios
 (Task design is very important)

· serve as a useful tool to

- unpack teachers' assessment practices and uncover design values
- dig deeper than documents
- explicate criteria for success
- serve as a catalyst for discussion

	Where the learner is going	Where the learner is right now	How to get there
Teacher	. Clarifying, sharing and understanding learning intentions and criteria for success	2. Engineering effective lassroom scussions and her learning sks that elicit vidence of student understanding	3. Providing feedback that moves learners forward
Peer		4. Activating stude resources for one	
Learner		5. Activating stude their own learning	





















## References (selection)

(KTH)

- .
- Bartholomew, S. Hantell, E. & Stimmel, G. (2017) ACL A flool for International Assessment Collaboration. In PATI34 Rechnology & Engineering Education Fostering the Creativity of Youth Around The Globe, Millersville Unvestity, Persingvienau, USA1 0–1 July 2017. Bartholomew, S. R., Stimmel, G. S., & Yoshikawa, E. (2018). Using Adaptive Comparative Judgment for Student Formative Feedback and Learning During a Middle School Open-ended Design Challenge. International Journal of Technology & Design Education. International Journal of Technology & Design Education. Torough Adaptive Comparative Judgment, <sup>1</sup> In 1713. Technology & Engineering Education Creativity of Youth Around The Globe, Millersville University, Pennsylvania, USA. 10–14 July, 2017. Hantell, E., and Kogh, I. B. (2015). Criteria for Success & Study of Primary Technology Enderbar. Assessment of Digital Portfolios. Australasian Journal of Technology & Education. Constant of Digital Portfolios. Australasian Journal of Technology Education. 2(1) .
- Pollitt, A. (2012). The method of adaptive comparative judgment. Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice, 19(3), s. 281-300. .
- .
- & Practice, 19(3), s. 281-300.
  Seeny, N., Canty, D., & Phelan, P. (2012). The validity and value of peer assessment using adaptive comparative judgement in design driven practical education. International Journal of Technology and Design Education, 21(2), 205-226.
  Seery, N., & Canty, D. (2018). Assessment and Learning: The Proximal and Distal Effects of Comparative Judgement. In Singer International Handbook of Technology Education, s. 735-748.
  Statens solverk (2017). Laropian för grundsloain, förskoleklassen och Initiathemmet 2011 (reviderad 2017).
  van Daal, T., Leethmis, M., Coerting, L., Donche, V., & De Maeyer, S. (2016). Validity of comparative judgement to assess academic writing: examining implications of its holdsic character and building on a shared contensus. Assessment ta Indicademic Networks, Policy & France, s. 1-16. :

HARTELL RESEARCHED NETHERLANDS 12 JAN 2019